

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,908	WUN, TZE CHEIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Maryam Monshipouri.

(3) _____.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

EP 0965597 (May 1998, cited in the IDS)& Kohler et al. (Biochemistry, 36(26), 8189-8194, 1997)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that base claims 1, 3 and 6 may be obvious over the combination of the above shown references. However, anticoagulant proteins with sequences shown in claim 2 are novel and non-obvious. Ms. Korál examined the above shown art and subsequently gave the examiner authority to bring the limitations of claim 2 into claims 1, 3 and 6 and to cancel non-elected claims in an Examiner's amendment.